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DERs & Grid Modernization

Peter Schwartz, LBNL
The Grid of the Past

Source: EPRI, 2009
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Key Future Grid Attributes

**Reliable** - Improves power quality and fewer power outages

**Resilient** - Quick recovery from any situation or power outage

**Secure** - Increases protection to our critical infrastructure

**Sustainable** - Facilitates broader deployment of clean generation and efficient end use technologies

**Affordable** - Maintains reasonable costs to consumers.

**Flexible** - Responds to the variability and uncertainty of conditions
Modern Grid Vision

► New technologies for generating, storing & using power require new grid operating paradigm
  - Distributed, dynamic, coordinated, automated grid operation
  - Improve reliability & reduce cost & environmental impact of providing electric power

► Distributed Energy Resources (DERs): assets at grid edge (usually customer-owned) providing energy services to customers & the grid

► Energy Services: energy production, available capacity, consumption & storage as well as ancillary services (AS) needed to maintain normal grid operations

► To realize the modern grid, U.S. DOE launched an aggressive five-year, Grid Modernization Initiative that:
  - Formed Grid Modernization Lab Consortium (GMLC) with core scientific abilities & regional outreach to develop requisite technologies
  - Aligns its technology Offices’ existing base activities into an integrated Multi-Year Program Plan (MYPP)
  - Developed new activities to fill major gaps in existing base
Communication Essential to Modern Grid

- Coordinating diverse, distributed modern grid assets requires frequent communication:
  - Grid operators may tell DERs about grid state, power prices & request/command desired behavior
  - DERs **may** tell grid operators about DER state & capabilities
  - Free information flow is essential to achieving goals of dynamic, automated power system

- Modern communication networks reduce cost & complexity through standard *Interfaces*
  - Decompose system into loosely coupled components that expose only essential internal information through interface
  - Interface defines standard data & methods for interacting with a given system component
  - Same principles used in design of Internet
  - Allows integration & *Interoperability* of components of different types, vendors, etc.

- **Interoperability**: Capability of two or more networks, systems, devices, applications, or components to externally exchange & readily use information securely & effectively. (IEEE 2030-2011)
What does grid want from buildings?

- Reduce peak demand
  - E.g., peak demand charge
- Help reduce operating costs
  - E.g., time varying price of electricity
- Rapid, short-term response to help managing renewables
  - I.e., Smooth renewable variability
- Stabilize the grid in an emergency
  - I.e., prioritize load to balance available generation
- 3rd-party investment

What do buildings want from grid?

- Incentive for responding
  - I.e., flexibility, planning is rewarded
- Low electric rates
  - I.e., average price of service goes down
- Choice of energy products to fit desires
  - E.g., support integration of renewables
- Reliability/resiliency value
  - I.e., few outages, quick recovery
- 3rd-party investment in energy efficiency & flexibility technology

Alignment of objectives & capabilities on both sides drives mutual benefit
To further grid interoperability, GMLC has 2 lab teams working on topic

Strategic Interoperability (GMLC project 1.2.2)
- Objectives: Interoperability – Overall strategy & vision
- Approach: Establish a strategic vision for interoperability; Measure state of interoperability in technical domains; Identify gaps & develop roadmaps methodology; Ensure industry engagement

Standards & Test Procedures for Interoperability & Interconnection (GMLC Project 1.4.1)
- Objectives: overarching goal is to help develop & validate interconnection & interoperability standards for existing & new electrical generation, storage & loads that ensures cross technology compatibility, harmonization of jurisdictional requirements & ultimately enabling high deployment levels without compromising grid reliably, safety or security
- Approach: National labs will develop an improved cycle of coordination that includes lab development & validation as well as working with industry through standards development organizations (SDOs) to accelerate establishment & revision of standards & test procedures for grid connected devices & systems.
Questions?
ESI Definition

Peter Schwartz, LBNL
Given the communication importance in the modern grid, the communication interface between the Grid & any DER has been generalized as an *Energy Services Interface (ESI)*

"An ESI is a bi-directional, [service-oriented], logical interface that supports the secure communication of information between entities inside & entities outside of a customer boundary to facilitate various energy interactions between electrical loads, storage, & generation within customer facilities & external entities."

An Architectural Context for ESI

- **Architecture** describes system concepts, structure, and organizing principles
- **Interoperability** drives simplicity of system integration
- Example: Architecture prescribes layered decomposition coordination framework, which defines interface points for interoperability
- The ESI concept respects the boundaries of responsibilities at the grid & DER facility interface

See Interop Strategic Vision GMLC whitepaper
https://gridmod.labworks.org/resources/interoperability-strategic-vision

Taft, JD. 2016. *Architectural Basis for Highly Distributed Transactive Power Grids: Frameworks, Networks, and Grid Codes.* PNNL-25480
The sets of arrows & dotted lines represent areas of focus for discussing interoperability issues.
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Key ESI Elements

- ESI facilitates automated (machine-to-machine) communications to support business practices; a service-oriented interface defining *what* is needed when, not *how* to deliver it.

- ESI includes functionality to:
  - Define services (e.g., what service is the grid/DER requesting/offering and what is the performance requirement?)
  - Qualify & register for a service
  - Measure & validate performance

- ESI can be described by a functional specification focused on messaging:
  - Communication conduit between grid & customer-sited equipment
  - Does not receive direct control signals nor contain control logic
  - Defined independently of implementation details such as data storage, etc.

- Key question: How someone would spec an ESI in the future & what features would it possess?
  - Foundational stepping stones building upon NIST efforts rather than ‘re-creating the wheel’
  - Autonomous control; autonomous grid services with different needs
ESI: Anticipated Benefits

ESI allows sites that choose to participate in grid services, a way to connect via consistent interface that:

- **Creates service-oriented architecture**: grid expresses service request; DERs decide how service is provided.
- **Improves interoperability**: interoperable feature set.
- **Standard interface permits grid communication to evolve independent of control in buildings**.
- **Improves responsive loads’ & grid performance** thru network optimization, unambiguous communication & transaction support that improves resilience, capacity & intermittent resources’ integration.
- **Protects** owners’ assets & controls service levels.
- **Enables open specifications** use in buildings codes & standards.
- **Supports more open market competition among resources**.
- **Improves utility DER procurement**.
- **Reduces cost** for implementing services.
Questions?
Status of ESI

Peter Schwartz, LBNL
Buildings & Grid Today

Market is slowly evolving

- Utilities have been doing “DR” for capacity for decades (13.6 GW of capacity enrolled)
- Little in market today for services outside of capacity

Most of market is fairly simplistic

- Residential is 40% of capacity (5.44 GW)
  - 53% of residential is an “AC Switch” that is radio controlled relay to shut off A/C (2.88 GW)
  - 4% is radio controlled relay for water heaters (0.22 GW)
  - 42% is through “smart thermostats” (2.28 GW)
- Commercial is 60% of capacity (8.16 GW)
  - 84% of capacity is done by calls, e-mail & fax (customer initiated) (6.85 GW)
  - Remaining 16% is fully automated using services such as OpenADR (1.31 GW)

Source: SEPA “2017 Demand Response Snapshot” report
ESI Implementation Options

- **Proprietary ESI** – Many ESIs today do not use open standards
  - Example – direct air conditioner load control using vendor-specific broadcast protocol

- **Basic/Hybrid ESI** – mixed open & proprietary standards
  - Example – Niagara Tridium JACE Gateway – links grid signals via OpenADR to local BACnet controls
  - Example – WIFI thermostats with–OpenADR cloud VEN

- **Standard ESI** – extensive use of standards
  - Work is underway ASHRAE & elsewhere to create new standards for ESIs
    - Example – BACnet Smart Grid Working Group working to link Facility Smart Grid Information Model in BACnet Web Services.

While any of these is possible, **Standard ESI is preferred because it offers more flexibility.**

*Note that some existing devices (JACE box, thermostats) have ESI functionality in addition to control logic, sensors, etc.*
Energy System Interface (ESI) Types

- **OpenADR Service Provider**
- **3rd-Party Cloud Service Provider**
- **Client Logic**

**Energy Usage Data Analytics, Visualization**

**ESI** = Energy Services Interface

- **HAN** = Home area network
- **BMS** = Building management system
- **EMCS** = Energy management system
- **EV** = Electric vehicle
- **RTU** = Rooftop (HVAC) unit

- **A** = OpenADR
- **B** = Proprietary
- **C** = Residential Load Control (SEP, EchoNET, OpenADR, etc.)
- **D/E** = Commercial/Industrial Load Control (LonWorks, BACnet, etc.)

**Smart Thermostat**

**EV Charger**

**RTU**

**OpenADR Enabled Devices**
## ESI Exists Now

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs</th>
<th>Grid Services</th>
<th>ESI</th>
<th>Commercial Building</th>
<th>Residential Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Now</td>
<td>- Used when there is inadequate peak capacity at transmission level</td>
<td>- Automated systems use proprietary gateway or OpenADR client</td>
<td>- 84% is manual in response to an e-mail, page or call</td>
<td>- AC Switch or thermostat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Generally occurs for less than 100 hours/year</td>
<td>- Manual, AC switch or thermostat (currently lacking ESI – direct load control)</td>
<td>- 16% is automated systems are proprietary or OpenADR &amp; have an ESI</td>
<td>- Limited OpenADR capability (offered via Cloud)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs</td>
<td>Grid Services</td>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Commercial Building</td>
<td>Residential Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>▪ Extended to new services for reverse peak, voltage, frequency, ramping, etc.</td>
<td>▪ Open ESI model for reduced cost &amp; complexity to deploy</td>
<td>▪ Medium to large commercial bldgs. - Open ESI would be part of, or connected to, BAS or other controls systems (i.e., lighting)</td>
<td>▪ Small commercial likely to follow residential model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Events could occur continuously</td>
<td>▪ ESI should work for buildings, inverters, EVSE, etc.</td>
<td>▪ Needs to support transactive including bidding, dispatching, &amp; validation</td>
<td>▪ 3 potential ESI models:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Should select most cost-effective solution &amp; have a method to validate performance (i.e., transactive model)</td>
<td>▪ Needs to support transactive including bidding, dispatching, &amp; validation</td>
<td>▪ Cloud-based aggregator (i.e., NEST)</td>
<td>▪ HEM system that is on site &amp; has an ESI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Appliance-based connection using a plug standard such as CTA 20145</td>
<td>▪ Appliance-based connection using a plug standard such as CTA 20145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions?
Issues: Standards Associated with ESIs

Paul Ehrlich, PNNL
Existing Standards Related to ESI

► **SEP2.0/IEEE 2030.5:** This standard defines mechanisms for exchanging application messages, the exact messages exchanged including error messages, & security features used to protect application messages. With respect to OSI network model, this standard is built using four-layer Internet stack model.

► **OpenADR2.0A/B:** OpenADR is communications data model, along with transport & security mechanisms, which facilitate information exchange between two end-points, electricity service provider & customer.
  - Designed to facilitate automated DR actions at customer location, whether it involves electric load shedding or shifting.
  - Designed to provide continuous dynamic price signals such as hourly day-ahead or day-of real time pricing.
  - Interacts with facility control systems that are pre-programmed to take action based on DR signal, enabling a response to DR event or price to be fully automated with no manual intervention.

► **CTA 2045-A:** Modular Communications Interface (MCI) for Energy Management standard released by Consumer Technology Association Jan. 3, 2018.
  - Specifies MCI to facilitate communications with residential devices for applications such as energy management.
  - MCI provides standard hardware & communications interface for energy mgt. signals & messages to reach devices.
  - Such devices may include an energy mgt. hub, an energy mgt. controller, an energy mgt. agent, residential gateway, ESI, a sensor, a thermostat, an appliance, or other consumer products.
SEP2.0/IEEE 2030.5 Focuses on Messages to Devices

- Consumer device integration into smart grid
- Serves two general purposes:
  - Inform consumer (e.g., energy usage, pricing)
  - Request actions to assist grid (e.g., thermostat changes)
- Focus on communications related to: efficiency, usage, price, DR, load control & service provider messages
- An IoT “profile” originally part of the ZigBee standard
- Application messages have been abstracted; no longer tied to a single networking stack
- Optimized for embedded & battery-powered devices
- Encourages vast ecosystem that can incorporate devices already in field
High-Level SEP2.0/IEEE 2030.5 Design

- Divided into “Function Sets” – independent sets of functionality
  - Price communication
  - DR & load control
  - Energy usage information (e.g., meter data)
  - DERs
  - Service provider messaging
  - Prepayment metering
  - Billing communication
  - File download/update

- Any device can be a server and/or client for a function set
  - Servers provide data, clients use data
  - Can have multiple servers for function set – allows for multiple service providers
  - If desired, clients can be assigned to servers
OpenADR Provides ESI Communications from Grid to Loads

- Open standards
- Flexible VTN & VEN client-server architecture
  - VEN can act as VTN for aggregators
- Supports communications for many larger utilities’ wide variety of existing DR programs
- Easily extended to support new DR & DER structures

NOTE:

VTN = Virtual top node
VEN = Virtual end node
OpenADR Offers Flexible Architectures

DR provider can be utility (e.g. sites A, B); aggregator not req’d
What Information Does OpenADR 2.0 Convey?

Currently, OpenADR offers ESI elements. Research needs to confirm these elements & answer these questions:

- To what extent can OpenADR be extended to cover anticipated future ESI needs?
- Are there any inherent limitations?

OpenADR Targets
Pre-Defined DER Types

- Thermostat
- Strip_Heater
- Baseboard_Heater
- Water_Heater
- Pool_Pump
- Sauna
- Hot_tub
- Smart_Appliance
- Irrigation_Pump
- Managed_Commercial_and_Industrial_Loads
- Simple_Residential_On_Off_Loads
- Exterior_Lighting
- Interior_Lighting
- Electric_Vehicle
- Generation_Systems
- Load_Control_Switch
- Smart_Inverter
- EVSE
- RESU
- Energy_Management_System
- Smart_Energy_Module
- Storage
- x-{user Defined}
Questions?
ESI Testing Standards – Responsive Loads

Are existing SEP2.0/IEEE 2030.5 or OpenADR sufficient for current ESI needs? Can they be extended to cover new needs of ESI with smart grid? Functions: bid, deliver, validate, audit/confirm

► **CONNECTION**: confirmation of connection; confirmation of ability to send/receive signals from other side

► **SECURITY**: immune from hacking; provides a consistent, reliable interface over extended time; messages received as sent (not corrupted)

► **PARAMETERS**: protocol contains necessary and sufficient set of commands to adequately convey anticipated grid needs; protocol provides basis for determining if load responded and in what way

► **TIMELY**: verify latency and consistency of signal across interface from grid to load and separately from load to grid

► **OPT OUT**: if load explicitly opts out of an event, is grid appropriately notified?
Test objectives: determine if OpenADR2.0b or IEEE 2030.5 contains sufficient communications options to convey all likely grid needs to responsive loads as well as load responses back to grid, when needed. Responsive load can be modeled with these options:

- BACnet & ModBus described communications within in larger responsive loads
- SEP2.0 is prescriptive on-premise communications
- ANSI/CTA 2045 describes a standardized form factor for providing communications
- oBIX aims to find a standard set of descriptions for use in data acquisition & control systems in responsive loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain/Function</th>
<th>End-Use</th>
<th>Applicable Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid/ Grid Signaling</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>OpenADR (2.0a &amp; 2.0b), IEEE 2030.5 (SEP 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer/ End-use Control</td>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>ASHRAE 135 (BACnet), oBIX, IEC 14908-1 (LonTalk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>BACnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Heaters and other appliances</td>
<td>BACnet, CTA-2045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ESI Testing Types Needed; Who Decides?

- Evaluate advanced grid services’ performance metrics in ESI architectures
  - Inertia
  - Voltage regulation
  - Load-following
  - Reverse DR

- Push vs. Pull system

- Latency

- Data reporting

- Response load capabilities
  - Sustained response (e.g., thermal)
  - Fast response (e.g., lighting)
  - Storage integration & capabilities
  - Give/take load expectations & limitations
  - Intermittencies
  - Synergies between responsive loads
What is Needed to Support Future Use of ESI?

► What energy services need to be supported by the ESI?
► What is appropriate interaction between grid & DERs?
  ▪ What information is needed by grid operators? By DERs?
  ▪ Can a DER opt out as a responsive load as currently many do?
  ▪ What are expectations of an opted-out entity?
► Key ESI elements: Bid, Deliver, Validate, Confirm – Are these adequate?
► What unambiguous use cases can cover needed ESI functions most effectively?
  ▪ Prices/Bids
  ▪ Contextual information
    • Time – actual or relative (e.g., “must respond by” time or needed duration of load change)
    • Magnitude (including +/−) of energy/capacity change
    • Location, if needed
  ▪ Penalties, if any, for non-performance
► How do we best engage industry to work with us to fill the voids/gaps?
► What existing standards point to an ESI & what additional standards are required?
► What do we want to get out of the webinar or stakeholder engagement, data? For what use? Timing of solutions? Near-term 3-5 years, use case issues with today’s standards
ESI Research Gaps

- What is full set of grid services an ESI must support? (Both now & anticipated in future)
- Will enhanced interoperability reduce costs & improve responsive load capabilities? What is goal?
- Does ESI enforce single data model at interface – or does it create a mapping between different protocols on either side of the ESI?
- For what anticipated future grid services can an ESI provide support?
- What data models are needed on either side of the ESI? That is, what information needs to be conveyed openly & what operations on each side are considered proprietary? Where is the line to define necessary and sufficient interactions?
- What functional tests & performance specs are needed (to demonstrate and support functionality)?
- ESI role, if any, in market interactions & bidding
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